## THE JOURNAL OF ANTIBIOTICS

# BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF MACROMOMYCIN

# TAKAYOSHI HIDAKA, YOSHIAKI YANO, TOSHIAKI YAMASHITA and Kiyoshi Watanabe

Biochemical Research Laboratory, Kanegafuchi Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Takasago, Hyogo, Japan

(Received for publication October 9, 1978)

Macromomycin (MCR) is a polypeptide antitumor antibiotic isolated from the culture broth of *Streptomyces macromomyceticus*. Antitumor activities of MCR were examined against three different tumor systems, *i.e.*, EHRLICH ascites carcinoma, L1210 leukemia and LEWIS lung carcinoma. Daily intraperitoneal treatment with MCR for 5 days showed a strong inhibition against EHRLICH ascites carcinoma. Both single and repeated intraperitoneal injections of MCR were effective over a wide dose range against intraperitoneally inoculated L1210 leukemia and MCR intravenously administered was also active against intravenously inoculated L1210 leukemia. Daily local subcutaneous injections of MCR produced the prolongation of life span of mice to which LEWIS lung carcinoma was subcutaneously inoculated with some cured mice, but daily intraperitoneal injections of MCR showed no activity. Single intravenous administration of MCR inhibited early LEWIS lung carcinoma, but not advanced LEWIS lung carcinoma.

The combination of MCR with aracytidine, or cyclophosphamide showed a synergistic activity against L1210 leukemia. MCR was not inactivated by treatment with serum, although neocarzinostatin was markedly inactivated by the same treatment.

The antitumor antibiotic, macromomycin (MCR), first isolated from the culture broth of *Streptomyces macromomyceticus* by CHIMURA *et al.* (1968)<sup>1)</sup>, was demonstrated to exhibit antitumor activity against L1210 leukemia and Sarcoma ascites 180, and to inhibit the growth of Gram-positive bacteria. The antibiotic is an acidic polypeptide having a molecular weight of about 12,000 and an isoelectric point of pH 5.4<sup>2)</sup>. It has been reported by LIPPMAN *et al.*<sup>3)</sup> that MCR is active against various mouse tumors such as L1210 leukemia, P388 leukemia, B16 melanoma and LEWIS lung carcinoma.

The mode of action of MCR is unique, since it is thought to bind to the surface of tumor cells and consequently to inhibit DNA synthesis<sup>4,5)</sup>. Moreover, the cytotoxicity of MCR to cells can be abolished by brief treatment of cells with trypsin<sup>5,6,7)</sup>. SUZUKI *et al.*<sup>8)</sup> have reported that MCR causes strand scission of DNA in HeLa and L5178Y cells and inhibits DNA synthesis.

During the course of production and purification of MCR in our laboratory, *S. macromomyceticus* was found to produce a second antitumor antibiotic designated auromomycin whose properties have been characterized<sup>9</sup>). MCR differs from auromomycin in some biological and physicochemical properties and consequently possesses some advantages over auromomycin. Thus, MCR exhibits a lower acute toxicity and displays stronger activity with respect to prolongation of life of mice inoculated with L1210 leukemia.

In this study, we wish to report the antitumor activity of MCR, the effect of combination treatment of MCR with other antitumor agents, and the influence of serum on the antibacterial activity of MCR in comparison with neocarzinostatin.

#### Materials and Methods

Animals and Tumors

Female mice:  $BDF_1$  (C57BL × DBA/2) weighing 18 ~ 22 g, ddY (20 ~ 24 g) and C57BL (18 ~ 22 g) were used. All mice were purchased from Shizuoka Agricultural Cooperative Association for Laboratory Animals and the pellet diet and water were freely given.

EHRLICH ascites carcinoma was supplied by the Institute of Microbial Chemistry, Tokyo, and was maintained in ddY mice by weekly intraperitoneal transplantation. L1210 leukemia was supplied by the Pharmacology Division, National Cancer Center Research Institute, Tokyo, and was maintained in BDF<sub>1</sub> mice by weekly intraperitoneal transplantation. LEWIS lung carcinoma was supplied by the National Cancer Institute, U.S.A., and was maintained in C57BL mice by biweekly subcutaneous transplantation. The solid tumor of 14-day old LEWIS lung carcinoma was excised and minced well with scissors. The minced tumor, suspended in physiological saline, was homogenized gently with a glass homogenizer. The homogenate was filtered first through two layers of sterilized stainless steel cytosieve (200 mesh) to remove clumped cells. Viable cells were determined microscopically by the trypan blue exclusion test with the use of a haemocytometer.

#### Agents

MCR was purified from the culture broth of *S. macromomyceticus* by a slight modification of the method reported previously<sup>2)</sup>. A lyophilized powdered form of MCR containing maltose (ratio 1: 19, by weight) was prepared for the purpose of stabilization of the MCR.

Neocarzinostatin (NCS) and cyclocytidine (Cyclo-C) were obtained from Yamanouchi Pharmaceutical Co., mitomycin C (MMC), adriamycin (ADM) and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) from Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., aracytidine (Ara-C) from Nippon Shinyaku Co., carbazilquinone (CQ) from Sankyo Co. and cyclophosphamide (EX) from Shionogi & Co. These agents were dissolved in physiological saline prior to use.

# Antitumor Activity of MCR

Tumor cells were inoculated into the mice in the following manner: EHRLICH ascites carcinoma into ddY mice intraperitoneally  $(2 \times 10^6)$ , L1210 leukemia into BDF<sub>1</sub> mice intraperitoneally  $(1 \times 10^5)$  or intravenously  $(1 \times 10^3$  or  $1 \times 10^5)$ , LEWIS lung carcinoma into the inguinal region subcutaneously  $(2 \times 10^6)$  or into the tail vein of BDF<sub>1</sub> mice intravenously  $(1 \times 10^5)$ .

MCR was injected into the mice *via* different routes in a volume of 0.1 ml/10 g of body weight. The administration schedule is indicated in each experiment.

## Combination of MCR with various antitumor agents

 $BDF_1$  mice were inoculated intraperitoneally with  $1 \times 10^5$  cells of L1210 leukemia. Each agent was administered alone or in combinaton with MCR intraperitoneally once daily for 5 days, starting 24 hours after tumor inoculation.

# Evaluation of antitumor activity

Antitumor activity was evaluated as the increase in life span (ILS  $\% = T/C \times 100 - 100$ ) calculated on the basis of the mean survival time (MST) of the treated mice over the control. When two agents were used together, the effect was considered to be synergistic if the combined treatment showed longer ILS (%) than the sum of individual ILS (%) of the two agents.

# Influence of human serum on antibacterial activity

Fresh human serum from healthy subjects was employed. In this experiment, MCR was used without maltose. MCR and NCS were dissolved in 25% human serum at a concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/ml and incubated for 0, 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 hours at 37°C. The residual activity was determined by the cylinder agar plate method using *Sarcina lutea* PCI 1001 as test organism. MCR and NCS, dissolved in physiological saline, at a concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/ml were incubated for 4 hours at 37°C as control. No loss of activity was observed under these conditions.

## **Results and Discussion**

## Antitumor Activity of MCR

The antitumor activity of MCR was examined against three different tumors (EHRLICH ascites carcinoma, L1210 leukemia, and LEWIS lung carcinoma). As shown in Table 1, MCR markedly inhibited EHRLICH ascites carcinoma, with an effective dose range of  $0.2 \sim 6.25 \text{ mg/kg/day} \times 5$ . Moreover, the number of 60-day survivors varied from  $3 \sim 5$  per 6 mice at these concentrations of the drug. By contrast, treatment with  $12.5 \sim 25 \text{ mg/kg/day}$  for 5 days resulted in significant death of the mice.

The antitumor activity of MCR against L1210 leukemia was tested via i.p.-i.p. system and i.v.-i.v.

system as described in Tables 2 and 3. With respect to the i.p.-i.p. system, MCR showed a marked effect over a wide dose range when administered as a single dose or given for a 5 day period (Table 2). Thus the range of effective dose by the criteria of ILS (%) for a single dose was 0.78~25 mg/kg with a maximal increase in life span of 98.8%. On the other hand, if MCR was provided for 5 days the effective dose range was 0.39~12.5 mg/kg/day with a maximal increase in life span of 77.1%. It may be noted that a single injection of MCR in contrast to multiple injections produced a somewhat longer survival time (98.8% vs 77.1%) suggesting that the therapeutic effect of MCR against L1210 is greatly influenced by the first injection. As observed in the study with EHRLICH ascites

| Dose<br>(mg/kg/<br>day) | MST<br>(days) | ILS<br>(%) | 60-Day<br>survivors |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| 25                      | 9.5           | -47.8      | 0/6                 |
| 12.5                    | 27.5          | 51.1       | 1/6                 |
| 6.25                    | 56.0          | 207.7      | 4/6                 |
| 3.13                    | 58.3          | 220.3      | 5/6                 |
| 1.56                    | 48.7          | 167.6      | 4/6                 |
| 0.78                    | 44.0          | 141.8      | 3/6                 |
| 0.39                    | 45.7          | 151.1      | 3/6                 |
| 0.2                     | 44.7          | 145.6      | 3/6                 |
| 0.1                     | 20.2          | 11.0       | 0/6                 |
| 0                       | 18.2          | 0          | 0/6                 |

Table 1. Effect of MCR on survival time of

EHRLICH ascites carcinoma  $(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cells})$  were inoculated intraperitoneally into ddY mice. MCR was injected intraperitoneally once daily for 5 days, starting 24 hours after tumor inoculation.

| Dose<br>(mg/kg/day) |               | Day 1 only |                     |               | Day 1~5    |                    |  |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|--|
|                     | MST<br>(days) | ILS<br>(%) | 30-Day<br>survivors | MST<br>(days) | ILS<br>(%) | 30-Day<br>survivor |  |
| 25                  | 15.5          | 86.7       | 0/6                 | 7.3           | -12.0      | 0/6                |  |
| 12.5                | 16.5          | 98.8       | 1/6                 | 11.7          | 41.0       | 0/6                |  |
| 6.25                | 12.8          | 54.2       | 0/6                 | 14.7          | 77.1       | 0/6                |  |
| 3.13                | 12.8          | 54.2       | 0/6                 | 14.0          | 68.7       | 0/6                |  |
| 1.56                | 12.5          | 50.6       | 0/6                 | 12.8          | 54.2       | 0/6                |  |
| 0.78                | 10.8          | 30.1       | 0/6                 | 11.8          | 42.2       | 0/6                |  |
| 0.39                | 9.5           | 14.5       | 0/6                 | 10.8          | 30.1       | 0/6                |  |
| 0.2                 | 9.0           | 8.4        | 0/6                 | 10.0          | 20.5       | 0/6                |  |
| 0.1                 | 8.5           | 2.4        | 0/6                 | 9.2           | 10.8       | 0/6                |  |
| 0                   | 8.3           | 0          | 0/6                 |               |            |                    |  |

L1210 leukemia ( $1 \times 10^5$  cells) were inoculated intraperitoneally into BDF<sub>1</sub> mice on day 0 and MCR was injected intraperitoneally only on day 1 or daily from day  $1 \sim 5$ , starting 24 hours after tumor inoculation.

carcinoma (Table 1), daily treatment with MCR (12.5~25 mg/kg/day) was toxic to the mice with the loss of body weight. LIPPMAN *et al.*<sup>3)</sup> noted that there was a maximal increase in life span of 37% and 22% for L1210 leukemia treated with MCR on day 1 and 5 and day 1~9, respectively. In our experiment, the maximal increase in life span was greater than 70% which is twice that reported by LIPPMAN *et al.*<sup>3)</sup> The reason for this difference is not clear, but it might be due to a difference in the MCR sample used.

In the i.v.-i.v. system, MCR was injected intravenously 1 hour (day 0), or 24 hours (day 1) after intravenous inoculation of  $1 \times 10^3$  or  $1 \times 10^5$ cells of L1210. As shown in Table 3, MCR was also effective when given by this route of administration. It was found that MCR was nearly as active at an inoculum size of  $10^5 vs$ 

Table 3. Effect of MCR on survival time of L1210 leukemia (i.v.-i.v. system).

| Inoculum<br>size      | Dose<br>(mg/kg) | Treatment schedule      | MST<br>(days)        | ILS<br>(%)           | 30-Day<br>sur-<br>vivors |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1.02 11               | 20<br>10<br>5   | Day 0<br>Day 0<br>Day 0 | 16.5<br>12.3<br>10.5 | 73.7<br>29.5<br>10.5 | 1/6<br>0/6<br>0/6        |
| 10 <sup>3</sup> cells | 20<br>10        | Day 1<br>Day 1          | 12.5<br>10.5         | 31.6<br>10.5         | 0/6<br>0/6               |
|                       | 0               | -                       | 9.5                  | 0                    | 0/6                      |
| 105 - 11-             | 20<br>10<br>5   | Day 0<br>Day 0<br>Day 0 | 10.7<br>9.3<br>7.3   | 59.7<br>38.8<br>9.0  | 0/6<br>0/6<br>0/6        |
| 10° cells             | 20<br>10        | Day 1<br>Day 1          | 9.5<br>7.2           | 41.8<br>7.5          | 0/6<br>0/6               |
|                       | 0               |                         | 6.7                  | 0                    | 0/6                      |

Cells  $(1 \times 10^{\circ} \text{ or } 1 \times 10^{\circ})$  of L1210 leukemia were inoculated intravenously into BDF<sub>1</sub> mice. MCR was injected intravenously 1 hour (Day 0), or 24 hours (Day 1) after tumor inoculation.

10<sup>3</sup> cells. However, the degree of effectiveness differed with the time of administration of the drug.

The effect of MCR on the survival time of mice inoculated subcutaneously or intravenously with LEWIS lung carcinoma was also examined. Table 4 reveals that daily intraperitoneal treatment (day  $1 \sim 10$ ) had no influence against LEWIS lung carcinoma, whereas local subcutaneous injection of the drug, at the site of tumor inoculation, produced a striking increase in life span. Thus, 6 and 7 of 12 mice treated with 0.78 and 3 mg/kg/day, respectively, survived 90 days after tumor inoculation. Moreover, autopsies of the surviving mice revealed no evidence of the growth of the primary tumor and no metastases into the lung was observed. Based on these results, the mice were considered cured. LIPPMAN *et al.*<sup>3</sup> have also reported that subcutaneously implanted LEWIS lung carcinoma responds to local subcutaneous injection but not to intraperitoneal treatment with MCR. It has been demonstrated

Table 4. Effect of MCR on survival time of Lewis lung carcinoma (s.c.-i.p., s.c.-s.c. system).

| Dose<br>(mg/kg/<br>day) | Route | MST<br>(days) | ILS<br>(%) | 90-Day<br>survivors |
|-------------------------|-------|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| 3.13                    | i.p.  | 26.3          | -19.3      | 0/12                |
| 0.78                    | i.p.  | 33.5          | 2.8        | 0/6                 |
| 3.13                    | s.c.  | 74.1          | 127.3      | 7/12                |
| 0.78                    | s.c.  | 61.9          | 89.9       | 6/12                |
| 0                       | -     | 32.6          | 0          | 0/12                |

Cells( $2 \times 10^6$ ) of LEWIS lung carcinoma were inoculated subcutaneously into BDF<sub>1</sub> mice. MCR was injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) or subcutaneously (s.c.) at the site of tumor inoculation once daily for 10 days, starting 24 hours after tumor inoculation.

Table 5. Effect of MCR on survival time of Lewis lung carcinoma (i.v.-i.v. system).

| Dose        | Treatment schedule | MST    | ILS            | 60-Day    |
|-------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|-----------|
| (mg/kg/day) |                    | (days) | (%)            | survivors |
| 20          | Day 1              | 40.4   | 69.7           | 5/10      |
| 10          | Day 1              | 40.8   | 71.4           | 3/10      |
| 5           | Day 1              | 26.8   | 12.6           | 0/5       |
| 20          | Day 14             | 31.0   | $30.3 \\ -4.2$ | 1/5       |
| 10          | Day 14             | 22.8   |                | 0/5       |
| 0           | -                  | 23.8   | 0              | 0/10      |

Cells  $(1 \times 10^5)$  of LEWIS lung carcinoma were inoculated intravenously into BDF<sub>1</sub> mice on day 0.

MCR was injected intravenously on day 1 only, or on day 14 only.

that LEWIS lung carcinoma implanted intravenously in contrast to subcutaneously or intramuscularly inoculated tumor may be more responsive to antitumor agents<sup>10</sup>). Therefore, the therapeutic effect of MCR administered intravenously on early and advanced stages of LEWIS lung carcinoma implanted intravenously was tested. As shown in Table 5, MCR was effective against the early tumor when injected intravenously on day 1, but it had far less activity against the advanced tumor when administered on day 14. All the mice that died by the 60th day had pulmonary metastases.

In these studies, MCR was active against EHRLICH ascites carcinoma, L1210 leukemia and LEWIS lung carcinoma. In addition to these tumors, MCR has been reported to be active against P388 leukemia and B16 melanoma<sup>8)</sup>. These results indicate that the agent has a broad antitumor spectrum. Therefore, it would be of interest to test further the antitumor activity of MCR against various other experimental tumors. The antitumor effect of MCR may be due to a direct cytotoxic action on tumor cells, since it has been reported that MCR inhibits the growth of HeLa S3 cells, L5178Y cells<sup>8)</sup> and P388 leukemia<sup>11)</sup> *in vitro*.

Combination Treatment with MCR and Various Antitumor Agents

The effect of MCR in combination with each of 8 antitumor agents was examined against L1210 leukemia. As shown in Table 6, a synergistic effect of MCR was observed in combination with Ara-C and EX. The combination of MCR with Cyclo-C, NCS, MMC, ADM, 5-FU, or CQ, did not show any significant synergistic effect (data not shown).

Chemotherapy involving various combinations of antitumor agents has been studied in experimental turnor systems and has been used with some success clinically. Therefore, further investigation of the combination of MCR with various other agents will be required to establish the most effective combination.

| Agents    | Dose<br>(mg/kg/day) | ILS<br>(%)              | 45-Day<br>survivors |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| MCR       | 6<br>3              | 72.3<br>62.7            | 0/3<br>0/6          |
| Ara-C     | 40<br>20<br>10      | 80.7<br>72.3<br>48.2    | 0/3<br>0/3<br>0/3   |
| MCR+Ara-C | $3+20 \\ 3+10$      | 165.0<br>297.6          | 0/3<br>1/3          |
| EX        | 50<br>25<br>12.5    | $177.1 \\ 53.0 \\ 36.1$ | 0/3<br>0/3<br>0/3   |
| MCR+EX    | $^{3+25}_{3+12.5}$  | 310.3<br>48.2           | 2/3<br>0/3          |
| Saline    | -                   | 0                       | 0/6                 |

Table 6. Synergistic effect of MCR with Ara-C or EX on survival time of L1210 leukemia.

Cells  $(1 \times 10^5)$  of L1210 leukemia were inoculated intraperitoneally into BDF<sub>1</sub> mice. Each agent alone or in combination with MCR was injected intraperitoneally once daily for 5 days starting 24 hours after tumor inoculation. Fig. 1. Influence of human serum on the antibacterial activity of macromomycin and neocarzinostatin.

Macromomycin (MCR) and neocarzinostatin (NCS) dissolved in 0.9% NaCl were added to 25% human serum to make the final concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively, and then they were incubated for the indicated time at 37°C. Each sample was placed in the ice until assay. Antibacterial activity was determined by the cylindar agar plate method using *Sarcina lutea* PCI 1001. Experiments were carried out twice.



## Influence of Serum on Antibacterial Activity

The results of a study of the influence of human serum on the antibacterial activity of MCR and NCS are shown in Fig. 1. The data reveal that the antibacterial activity of MCR was unaffected by human serum after a 2-hour period at  $37^{\circ}$ C; moreover, the activity diminished only slightly after 4 hours under the same conditions. In contrast, the antibacterial activity of NCS decreased markedly and by 2 hours no activity was detected. NCS<sup>12,13</sup>, as with MCR, is an acidic polypeptide, antitumor antibiotic with a molecular weight of 10,700. It is considered to be chemically similar to MCR, although they differ in amino acid composition. A previous report noted that NCS was inactivated markedly by serum *in vitro*<sup>14</sup>; the mechanism of inactivation or degradation of NCS by serum has also been described<sup>15,16</sup>. The difference in stability of MCR and NCS with respect to human serum may prove to be useful for identification of similar types of antitumor peptides.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to Drs. H. UMEZAWA, T. TAKEUCHI and M. ISHIZUKA of the Institute of Microbial Chemistry for valuable advice and encouragement throughout the course of this study. We also wish to thank Dr. K. KURETANI of the Pharmacology Division, National Cancer Center Research Institute, Tokyo for the supply of L1210 leukemia, and Dr. J. D. DOUROS of the National Cancer Institute, U.S.A. for the supply of Lewis lung carcinoma.

#### References

- CHIMURA, H.; M. ISHIZUKA, M. HAMADA, S. HORI, K. KIMURA, J. IWANAGA, T. TAKEUCHI & H. UMEZAWA: A new antibiotic, macromomycin, exhibiting antitumor activity and antimicrobial activity. J. Antibiotics 21: 44~49, 1968
- YAMASHITA, T.; N. NAOI, K. WATANABE, T. TAKEUCHI & H. UMEZAWA: Further purification and characterization of macromomycin. J. Antibiotics 29: 415~423, 1976
- LIPPMAN, M. M.; W. R. LASTER, B. J. ABBOTT, J. VENDITTY & M. BARATTA: Antitumor activity of macromomycin B (NSC-170105) against murine leukemias, melanoma and lung carcinoma. Cancer Res. 35: 939~945, 1975
- KUNIMOTO, T.; M. HORI & H. UMEZAWA: Reversible binding of macromomycin, a macromolecular peptide antibiotic, to cell membranes. J. Antibiotics 24: 203~205, 1971
- KUNIMOTO, T.; M. HORI & H. UMEZAWA: Macromomycin, an inihibitor of the membrane function of tumor cells. Cancer Res. 32: 1251~1256, 1972
- 6) LIPPMAN, M. M.: *In vitro* studies on macromomycin (NCS-170105). Effects on cultured TA3Ha and L1210 cells. Cancer Chemoth. Rept. 58: 181~187, 1974
- WINKELHAKE, J. L. & F. L. A. BUCKIRE: Radioimmune assay and characteristics of antibodies to macromomycin (NCS 170105). Cancer Res. 37: 1197~1204, 1977
- SUZUKI, H.; T. NISHIMURA, K. MUTO & N. TANAKA: Mechanism of action of macromomycin: DNA strand scission, inhibition of DNA synthesis and mitosis. J. Antibiotics 31: 875~883, 1978
- 9) YAMASHITA, T.; N. NAOI, T. HIDAKA, K. WATANABE, Y. KUMADA, T. TAKEUCHI & H. UMEZAWA: Studies on auromomycin. J. Antibiotics 32: 330~339, 1979
- 10) OVEJERA, A. A.; R. K. JOHNSON & A. GOLDIN: Growth characteristics and chemotherapeutic response of intravenously implanted Lewis lung carcinoma. Cancer Chemoth. Rep., Part 2, 5: 111~125, 1975
- IM, W. B.; C. CHIANG & R. MONTGOMERY: Studies on macromomycin, an antitumor protein. J. Biol. Chem. 253: 3259~3264, 1978
- ISHIDA, N.; K. MIYAZAKI, K. KUMAGAI & M. RIKIMARU: Neocarzinostatin, an antitumor antibiotic of high molecular weight: Isolation, physicochemical properties and biological activities. J. Antibiotics 18: 68~76, 1965
- MEINHOFER, J.; H. MAEDA, C. B. GLASER, J. CZOMBOS & K. KUROMIZU: Primary structure of neocarzinostatin, an antitumor protein. Science 178: 875~876, 1972
- 14) FUJITA, H.; N. NAKAYAMA, T. SAWABE & K. KIMURA: In vitro distribution and inactivation of neocarzinostatin. Jap. J. Antibiotics 23: 471~478, 1970

- 15) MAEDA, H. & J. TAKESHITA: Degradation of neocarzinostatin by blood sera *in vitro* and its inhibition by diisopropyl fluorosphate and N-ethylmaleimide. Gann 66: 523~527, 1975
- 16) MAEDA, H. & J. TAKESHITA: Inhibitors of proteolytic enzymes present the inactivation by blood of the protein antibiotic neocarzinostatin and its succinyl derivative. J. Antibiotics 29: 111~112, 1976